REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

After the foregoing Amendment, Claims 1, 3, and 5-18 are currently pending in this application. Claims 1, 5, 7 and 15-18 have been amended to more distinctly point out that which the applicant believes to be the invention. Applicant submits that no new matter has been introduced into the application by these amendments.

Allowable Subject Matter

The Examiner is thanked for indicating that claims 1, 3, 5 - 14, and 16 are allowable.

Claim Objections

The Examiner has objected to claims 1, 3, 5-18 for various informalities .listed below. Claims 3 and 5-18 are objected to as being dependent from claim 1.

The withdrawal of the objection to the claims 1, 3, 5 - 18 is respectfully requested.

Claim 1 (line 16), "the contour reconstruction filter" should be changed to --the contour-reconstruction-filter--;

Claim 1 (lines 20 – 21), "application of the colour-reconstruction filter" should be changed to --application of a color-reconstruction filter--;

Claim 5 (line 1), "The method as claimed in claim 4" should be changed to

Applicant: Cornelis Antonie Maria Jaspers **Application No.:** 10/519,063

--The method of claim1--;

Claim 7 (line1), "The method as claimed in claim 14" should be changed to --The method of claim1--;

Claim 15 (line 1), "reconstruction-f- ilter" should be changed to --reconstruction filter--;

Claim 16 (line 4), "the product" should be changed to --the computer program product--;

Claim 16 (line 5), "a computing system of a camera" should be changed to --the computing system of the camera--;

Claim 17 (line 2), "a computing system of a camera" should be changed to --the computing system of the camera--;

Claim 17 (line 3), "program product as claimed in claim 18" should be changed to --program product as claimed in claim 16--.

Claims 1, 5, 7 and 15-17 have been amended to overcome the objections and correct the informalities.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC §112

Claims 15, 17, and 18 stand rejected under 35 USC §112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which the applicant regards as the invention.

Applicant: Cornelis Antonie Maria Jaspers

Application No.: 10/519,063

Claims 15, 17 and 18, as amended are no longer subject to the rejection under

35 USC §112, second paragraph, as the alleged indefinite articles have been

removed. Therefore, withdrawal of the rejection of claims 15, 17 and 18 is

respectfully requested.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC §101

Claim 15 stand rejected under 35 USC §101 because the claimed invention is

directed to neither a "process" nor a "machine," but rather embraces or overlaps two

different statutory classes of invention.

Claim 15 has been amended to more clearly state the claim as an apparatus

claim. Withdrawal of the rejection of claims 15 is respectfully requested.

-9-

Applicant: Cornelis Antonie Maria Jaspers

Application No.: 10/519,063

Conclusion

If the Examiner believes that any additional minor formal matters need to be

addressed in order to place this application in condition for allowance, or that a

telephone interview will help to materially advance the prosecution of this

application, the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned by telephone at the

Examiner's convenience.

In view of the foregoing amendment and remarks, Applicants respectfully

submit that the present application, including claims 1, 3, and 5-18, are in condition

for allowance and a notice to that effect is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Cornelis Antonie Maria Jaspers

Matthew I. Cohen

Registration No. 48,133

Volpe and Koenig, P.C.

United Plaza

30 South 17th Street

Philadelphia, PA 19103-4009

Telephone: (215) 568-6400

Facsimile: (215) 568-6499

MIC/pp

- 10 -